

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/676,175	09/29/2000	Orna Etzion	42390.P7512	1540	
7590 06/01/2004		EXAMINER			
John P Ward			MEONSKE,	MEONSKE, TONIA L	
Blakely Sokolo	ff Taylor & Zafman LLP	,			
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2183		
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025-1026		DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004	· §	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
•		09/676,175	ETZION, ORNA	•			
~	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tonia L Meonske	2183				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🔀]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 h	March 2004					
· _	• •	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-11 and 13-15 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)[	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		-	, ,			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 rr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:		152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/676,175

, Art Unit: 2183

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Rozas et al., US Patent 6,725,361.
- 3. Referring to claims 1, 6, and 11, Rozas et al. have taught
  - a. translating a first block of instructions executable in a first processor architecture, into a translated first block of instructions executable in a second processor architecture (abstract, column 4, line 31-column 5, line 32), said translated first block of instructions operating with a stack of data entry positions (abstract, column 4, line 31-column 5, line 32); and
  - b. during the translating, generating an expected Top of Stack (TOS) position in said stack for said first block of code (column 5, lines 32-40, column 8, line 66-column 9, line 18); and
  - c. during the translating adding at least one instruction to said translated first block of instructions to determine if said first expected TOS is equal to an actual TOS at a time

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/676,175

.. Art Unit: 2183

of executing said translated first block of instructions (column 10 line 66-colimn 11, line 10).

- 4. Referring to claims 3, 8, and 13, Rozas et al. have taught the method and logic of claims 1, 6, and 11, as described above, and wherein said instruction added to said first block of instruction, branches to correction code if said expected TOS is not equal to said actual TOS (column 10, line 66-column 11, line 10).
- 5. Referring to claims 4, 9, and 14, Rozas et al. have taught the method and logic of claims 3, 8, and 13, as described above, and wherein determining if execution of instruction is said first block of instructions changes the actual TOS (Column 7, line 3-column 8, line 12).
- 6. Referring to claims 5, 10, and 15, Rozas et al. have taught the method and logic of claims 4, 9, and 14 and in response to determining execution of instructions in said first block of instructions changes the actual TOS, adding an instruction to an end of the first block of instructions to update the actual to TOS (column 7, line 3- column 8, line 12).

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-6, 8-11 and 13-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE
   MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

., Art Unit: 2183

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tonia L Meonske whose telephone number is (703) 305-3993. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8-4:30.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie P Chan can be reached on (703) 305-9712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm

EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100